(എ) കറുകച്ചാൽ റിസർവ് വനത്തിൽനിന്നും ഭക്ഷ്യാൽപാദനത്തിനായി വേർതിരിച്ച കുത്തകപ്പാട്ട വ്യവസ്ഥയിൽ കൊടുത്തിട്ടുള്ള സ്ഥലം ഓരോ താലൂക്കിലും എത്ര ഏക്കർ ?

(ബി) മണിമല-എരുമേലി റോഡു കടന്നുപോകുന്ന കരിക്കാട്ടൂർ റിസർവു വനത്തിന്റെ ഏതാനും ഭാഗങ്ങൾ ഭക്ഷ്യാൽപാദനാർത്ഥം കുത്തകപ്പാട്ട വ്യവസ്ഥയിൽ ലഭിക്കുന്നതിനു സ്ഥലവാസികളായ അവശ സമുദായക്കാരിൽനിന്നും ബഹുമാനപ്പെട്ട ഗവണ്മെൻറിനു അപേക്ഷ ലഭിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടോ ? ഉണ്ടെങ്കിൽ അതിന്മേൽ ഗവണ്മെൻറു സ്വീകരിച്ച നടപടികൾ എന്തെല്ലാം?

HON'BLE MR. V. O. MARKOS: (*a*) A statement containing the information is placed on the table.

(*b*) Applications were received for lease of lands from the Karikattur Reserve. But it could not be leased out as claim cases are pending in courts. Further it is an ideal village forest useful to the surrounding inhabitants in the matter of getting manure and firewood. This reserve supports valuable tree growth.

ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

MR. PRESIDENT: ഏതാനും അടിയന്തിരപ്രമേയങ്ങൾ ലഭിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ട്. ഒന്നു മി പി. കെ. കുമാരൻ ആചാരിയുടേതാണു. അതു് ഞാൻ വായിക്കാം.

MR. P. K. KUMARAN ACHARI : I am forwarding herewith an adjournment motion to be discussed in the Legislative Assembly on the 3rd Kumbhom:-

I beg leave to move that the business of the House be adjourned for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of public importance, namely, the serious situation that has arisen out of the resignation of the Hindu members of the Kottayam Municipal Council.

This is a matter for which the local authority is immediately responsible. The Kottayam Municipality is a local authority and hence this is not a matter to be discussed here. It is disallowed.

അടുത്തതു് മി. ഗംഗാധരൻ നായരുടെ ഒരു അടിയന്തിരപ്രമേയമാണു. അതുവായിക്കാം.

MR. V. GANGADHARAN NAIR'S IS:-

I move for the adjournment of the business of the House

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. V. Gangadharan Nair.]

for discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the suppression of the daily newspaper' "Malayali" by cancelling its licence and forfeiting the security..

ഇതേവിഷയത്തെ സംബന്ധിച്ചുതന്നെ മറെറാരു അടിയന്തിര പ്രമേയത്തിന്മേൽ മി. കെ. പി. നീലകണ്ഠപിള്ളയും നോട്ടീസ് തന്നിട്ടുണ്ട്.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI'S motion is :

"I move for the adjournment of the business of the House for discussing a definite matter of public importance, namely, the policy of the Government in resorting to the Newspaper Act for suppressing journalism by cancelling the licence of the daily newspaper "Malayali."

MR. A. NESAMONI : May I bring to the notice of the Chair that I too have sent a notice of an adjournment motion?

MR. PRESIDENT: Yes; there is one sent in by you. It reads.

"This is to give notice that I intend to move that the business of the House be abjourned for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, namely, the suppression of the freedom of the Press with a view to stifle all criticism against Government by the cancellation of the licence of the daily 'Newspaper' Malayali.'

I will deal with all these three together. The action taken against the "Malayali" is a matter—

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI : May I bring one point to the notice of the House? All the three adjournment motions are, according to me, different. Therefore, a ruling may be given to differentiate the adjournment motions, and different rulings may be given to different adjournment motions. (Laughter).

MR. PRESIDENT: The substance is the same, namely the action taken by the Government in suppressing the newspaper. So, I rule that the substance of all the three motions is the same and give only one ruling for all the three together.

The action taken against the Malayali is a matter in the ordinary course of administration of law. The Newspaper Act is an Act in force now and any action taken under it, is action taken in the ordinary course of administering a law in force. In a similar

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

case taken against the Free Press Journal under the Press Act of 1931 by the Bombay Government an adjournment motion sought to be moved in the Indian Assembly was ruled out of order and the President observed :-

"I am afraid the Chair cannot allow an adjournment motion on that point. The Bombay Government was administering an ordinary law of the land and the policy of the Local Government or the Central Government in administering a law of the land was not a matter of urgency within the rules and as such the motion is not in order. (See Decisions of the Chair, Legislative Assembly, India" p. 21)

Moreover there is provision in the Newspaper Act for a reference to and decision by the High Court. Any person aggrieved by an order under Section 5 or Section 7 may within two months from the date of such order, apply to our Government for reconsideration of such order and our Government shall thereupon either evoke such order or shall refer such application to our High Court forwarding to said court a copy of such order with the connected records. The matter therefore is *subjudice* also. In the above case of the Free Press Journal the motion was ruled out on this ground too. So I rule out all these three adjournment motions.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI: May I be permitted to make one statement, Sir?

MR. PRESIDENT: No statement will be permitted in this connection.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI: Not about the ruling.

MR. PRESIDENT: Nor on the adjournment motions.

MR. K. P. NILAKANTA PILLAI: I want to draw the attention of the Chair to—

MR. PRESIDENT: I have ruled that no discussion can be allowed on the adjournment motions after the Chair has ruled it out of order.

MR. A. NESAMONY: May I bring to the notice of the Chair the ruling of your predecessor, allowing a statement to Mr. P. T. Chacko and another to Mr. P. S. Muhammed, on such adjournmemt motions when they were disallowed.

MR. P. T. CHACKO: May I point out that it was before disallowing the adjournment motion.

MR. PRESIDENT: Whatever it may be, my ruling is this.

MR. K. K. CHELLAPPAN PILLAI: On a point of order.

ലായർ അഡ്മിനിസ്ട്രേറ്റർ ചെയ്യുന്ന ഒരു അർഡർ

MR. PRESIDENT: No more discussion is allowed.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly